

On $\sigma\delta$ -Picard-Vessiot extensions

Ana Peón Nieto*

April 21, 2009

Abstract

We study the differential Galois theory of difference equations under weaker hypothesis on the field of σ -constants. This framework yields a new approach to results by C.Hardouin and M.Singer, which answers positively a question by M.Singer: under the classical hypothesis, the known results are still valid. In particular, our Galois group is isomorphic to theirs over a suitable field. We also explicitly calculate the number of connected components of the Galois group.

*Université Paris 7 Denis-Diderot, Équipe de Logique Mathématique, UFR de mathématiques, case 7012, site Chevaleret 75205 Paris Cedex 13 France, apeon@logique.jussieu.fr

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	The main result	4
3	A closer look at the Galois group	10

1 Introduction

In [HS08] Hardouin and Singer study the Galois theory of linear difference-differential equations defined over a characteristic 0 difference-differential field k on which a set of commuting operators Σ , Δ and Π act, and whose field of $\Sigma\Delta$ -constants, $k^{\Sigma\Delta}$, is Π -differentially closed¹. In what follows, we answer a question by Michael Singer on whether the approach done in [CHS08] for the Σ -systems works also in this case, i.e., can we weaken the hypothesis on the field of constants without essentially altering the results? The answer is yes for the particular case $\Sigma = \{\sigma\}$, $\Delta = \emptyset$, $\Pi = \{\delta\}$: supposing the field of σ -constants C_k to be relatively algebraically closed inside k , we build a Galois group $\mathbb{H}$ that turns out to be isomorphic to the one in [HS08] once the constants have been suitably increased. Concerning the structure of the Galois group, we obtain an explicit description of the Picard-Vessiot extension S as a direct sum of domains, which allows to calculate the number of connected components of the Galois group. Similarly, our group $\mathbb{H}$ is dense (in a certain sense) inside the Galois groups of difference equations naturally related to our difference-differential system. The methods used here follow those developped in [CHS08] for the difference case.

For the sake of comparison, we include a summary of the steps of [HS08] that can be contrasted with results in the present article:

1. Hardouin-Singer:
 - (a) Uniqueness of the Picard-Vessiot extension for the given system of $\Sigma\Delta$ -equations, $S = k\{Z, \det(Z)^{-1}\}$.
 - (b) Galois correspondence.
 - (c) Association of a $\Sigma\Delta$ -Picard-Vessiot extension $S_0 = k[Z, \det(Z)^{-1}]$, satisfying that $Gal_{\Sigma\Delta\Pi}(S/k) \subset Gal_{\Sigma\Delta}(S_0/k)$ is a dense subgroup in the Kolchin topology (c.f. Proposition 6.21 in [HS08] and Proposition 2.3 in here).
 - (d) Σ -simplicity of S (c.f. Corollary 6.22 in [HS08]).
2. Present:
 - (a) Explicit construction of a $\sigma\delta$ -ring R which is a Picard-Vessiot extension over kC_L for $\sigma(X) = AX$, and which is simple as a σ -ring, being the union of simple σ -rings R_i (see Proposition 2.1).
 - (b) Description of the differential group $\mathbb{H}(C_L) = Aut_{\sigma\delta}(R/kC_L)$ in

¹Here Σ and Π are the sets of automorphisms and linear derivations respectively appearing in the equations under study, and Π is a set of arbitrary derivations, the requirements on which are just commutativity with $\Delta \cup \Sigma$.

terms of the algebraic groups $\mathbb{H}_i(C_L) = \text{Aut}_\sigma(R_i/kC_L)$ (see Proposition 6.21 in [HS08] and 2.3 here)

- (c) If the field $K = k(C)$ is generated over k by C , a differentially closed field containing C_L and on which σ is the identity, then $S = R \otimes_{kC_L} K$ is a simple σ -ring and is therefore isomorphic to the (unique) Picard-Vessiot extension of Hardouin-Singer (see 6.16 in [HS08], and Theorem 2.5 here). We then have $\text{Aut}_{\sigma\delta}(S/K) = \mathbb{H}(C)$.
- (d) A finer study of R and of its field of fractions L gives the number of idempotents of S and the index of the connected component $\mathbb{H}^0$ of $\mathbb{H}$ (c.f. Theorem 2.5, Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 in here).

I wish to thank my advisor, Zoé Chatzidakis, for invaluable discussions and support during all this time.

2 The main result

Notation and terminology

All fields are supposed to be of characteristic 0. Let k be a field/ring with an automorphism σ and a derivative δ .

$qr(A), A^*$	the total ring of quotients of the ring A
$\text{frac}(D)$	the fraction field of a domain D
k^a	the algebraic closure of the field k
$C_k/\text{Fix}(\sigma)(k)$	$\{x \in k : \sigma(x) = x\}$ for a given field/ring k
D_k	$C_k^a \cap k$, the relative algebraic closure of C_k in k .
$\text{Aut}_\sigma(K/k)$	the group of k - σ -automorphisms of the ring K
$\text{Aut}_{\sigma\delta}(K/k)$	the group of k - $\sigma\delta$ -automorphisms of the ring K
$k\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$	the δ -differential ring generated over k by the n -tuple $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$
$k\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$	the δ -differential field generated over k by the n -tuple $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$

Proposition 2.1. *(Construction of the Picard-Vessiot extension.) Let k be a $\sigma\delta$ -field of characteristic 0 on which $\sigma\delta = \delta\sigma$. Let $A \in GL_m(k)$, and consider the field $L = k\langle X, \det(X)^{-1} \rangle$ (for some $m \times m$ matrix of indeterminates X) on which we extend the action of the automorphism by setting:*

$$\sigma(X) = AX \tag{1}$$

and commutativity of σ and δ . Suppose that k satisfies:

$$D_k = C_k$$

(i.e., the constant field of k is relatively algebraically closed in k .) Then $kC_L\{X, \det(X)^{-1}\}$ is a Picard-Vessiot extension over kC_L for the equation above, and is a simple σ -ring.

Proof. For the proof we will consider the intermediate σ -rings and fields:

$$K_n = k(X, \det(X)^{-1}, X', \dots, X^{(n)})$$

$$F_n = kC_{K_n}[X, \det(X)^{-1}, X', \dots, X^{(n)}]$$

on which the action of σ is defined by restriction. We have thus:

$$\sigma(X^{(j)}) = \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{j}{i} A^{(i)} X^{(j-i)} \quad \forall j = 0, 1, \dots, n \quad (2)$$

We will prove that the F_n 's are simple σ -rings $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Once this has been proved we are done. Indeed, if $I \subset kC_L\{X, \det(X)^{-1}\}$ is a non-zero proper σ -ideal, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $I \cap kC_{K_n}[X, \det(X)^{-1}, X', \dots, X^{(n)}]$ is a σ -ideal. Since it is non-zero,

$$I \cap kC_{K_n}[X, \det(X)^{-1}, X', \dots, X^{(n)}] \neq 0$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. But this implies that $I \cap F_n \neq 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $1 \in I \cap F_n \subset I$. So $kC_L\{X, \det(X)^{-1}\}$ is a simple σ -ring, thus a simple $\sigma\delta$ -ring, generated over kC_L (as a δ -ring) by a fundamental solution of the σ -equation, and so a Picard-Vessiot extension over kC_L .

To check the simplicity of F_n , we will consider the kC_{K_n} 's as σ -fields. Then (2) defines a system of difference equations over kC_{K_n} , with the following associated matrix:

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ A' & A & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ A'' & 2A' & A & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ A''' & 3A'' & 3A' & A & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A^{(n)} & \binom{n}{1}A^{(n-1)} & \binom{n}{2}A^{(n-2)} & \binom{n}{3}A^{(n-3)} & \dots & A \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that $(X, \dots, X^{(n)})^T$ is an obvious solution of (2).

Claim: there is a fundamental matrix for the system (2) with entries in F_n . Indeed, consider the following matrix, defined by blocks:

$$\mathcal{X} = \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ X' & X & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ X'' & 2X' & X & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ X''' & 3X'' & 3X' & X & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ X^{(n)} & \binom{n}{1}X^{(n-1)} & \binom{n}{2}X^{(n-2)} & \binom{n}{3}X^{(n-3)} & \dots & X \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easily checked that $\mathcal{X}$ is a solution.

Given that $C_k = D_k$, Corollary 4.12 in [CHS08] implies that F_n/kC_{K_n} is a Picard-Vessiot extension. $\square$

We can now proceed to the description of the group $Aut_{\sigma\delta}(R^*/kC_L)$, $R^* = qr(R)$. The strategy followed is inspired by the one found in [CHS08]: we define the automorphism group independently of any considerations on the constants, as being the stabiliser of the differential locus of a generic solution; then, uniqueness of the Picard-Vessiot extension when the constants are differentially closed will imply that the group obtained is isomorphic to the one defined in [HS08] over a suitable field.

Proposition 2.2. *Let*

$$G = Aut_{\sigma\delta}(kC_L\langle X \rangle / kC_L)$$

Consider the δ -ideal $I_\delta \subset kC_L\{Y\}$ of differential polynomials over kC_L that vanish at X . Let $GL_m(C_L)$ act on $kC_L\{Y\}$ by δ - kC_L -automorphisms in the following way: to each $B \in GL_m(C_L)$ we associate the automorphism $g_B : Y \mapsto YB$.

Let $H = \{B \in GL_m(C_L) : g_B \text{ leaves } I_\delta \text{ invariant}\}$. Then $G \cong H$, so G is the set of C_L -points of the linear differential group $\mathbb{H}$ defined over kC_L by the property: “ g_B leaves I_δ invariant”.

Proof. It is easy to see that $G \hookrightarrow H$: given $g \in G$, its action is uniquely determined by the matrix $X^{-1}g(X) \in GL_m(C_L)$. Indeed, $g(X)$ is a fundamental matrix for the equation $\sigma(X) = AX$, hence $g(X) = XB_g$ for a unique $B_g \in GL_m(C_L)$. By commutativity with δ , g induces the desired action on $kC_L\{Y\}$. Finally, G being a δ -automorphism group over kC_L , it must leave I_δ invariant. So we have the desired inclusion.

Conversely, let $B \in H$. We may associate to this element the automorphism given by $g_B(X^{(n)}) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} X^{(i)} B^{(n-i)}$. Both maps are clearly the inverse of one another, and so they define an isomorphism and its inverse. It is clearly a differential morphism, and so induces a differential structure on G . $\square$

Corollary 2.3. *Let $\mathbb{H} = Aut_{\sigma\delta}(kC_L\langle X \rangle / kC_L)$ be the Galois group described above for the system of difference equations (1). Then $\mathbb{H}$ is defined by*

$$B \in \mathbb{H} \Leftrightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ B' & B & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B^{(n)} & \dots & & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}_n$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists n_0 : \forall n \in \{0, \dots, n_0\} \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ B' & B & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B^{(n)} & \dots & & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}_n$$

where $\mathbb{H}_n$ is the Galois group $\text{Aut}_\sigma(F_n C_L / k C_L)$ for the difference system (2). Furthermore, the embedding

$$\begin{aligned} i_n : \mathbb{H} &\hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}_n \\ B &\mapsto (B, \dots, B^{(n)}) \end{aligned}$$

has Zariski dense image.

Proof. (c.f. Theorem 2.9 and Proposition 4.15 on [CHS08]) View now $k C_L \langle X \rangle$ and $k C_L \{Y\}$ as rings. The action of $B \in GL_m(C_L)$ on $X^{(n)}$ and $Y^{(n)}$ is now defined by:

$$X^{(n)} \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} X^{(n-j)} B^{(j)} \quad Y^{(n)} \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} Y^{(n-j)} B^{(j)}$$

that is, via the action of $(B, \dots, B^{(n)})$. It follows then that B leaves the differential ideal I_δ invariant if and only if $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ $(B, \dots, B^{(n)})$ leaves the ideal I_n invariant (where $I_n \subset k C_L[Y_0, \dots, Y_n]$ is the ideal of polynomials vanishing at $(X, \dots, X^{(n)})^T$) if and only if for each n $(B, \dots, B^{(n)})$ leaves the ideal of $k C_L$ -polynomials vanishing at a fundamental solution of (2) invariant, if and only if for each n

$$\begin{pmatrix} B & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ B' & B & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B^{(n)} & \dots & & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}_n$$

This proves the first equivalence, the second being an easy consequence of noetherianity of the Kolchin topology.

The last assertion follows from the definitions of $\mathbb{H}$ and $\mathbb{H}_n$ (as being respectively the stabiliser of the differential and algebraic loci of a fundamental solution to the given equation), and the preceding arguments. $\square$

Lemma 2.4. *Let L, D_L, C_L be as defined in 2.1. Then $(k C_L)^a \cap L / k C_L$ is a finite extension; in particular $[D_L : C_L] = l < \infty$*

Proof. Consider $I = \mathcal{I}_\delta(X/kC_L)$, the ideal of differential polynomials over kC_L that vanish at X . It is a prime ideal of $kC_L\{Y\}$, and so the differential set it defines (say $W = \mathcal{V}_\delta(I)$) is a Kolchin closed set; since the Kolchin topology is noetherian, W has a finite number of absolutely irreducible components; equivalently, there is only a finite number of minimal ideals of $(kC_L)^a\{Y\}$ containing I . Since there is a one-to-one correspondence between such minimal ideals and kC_L - δ -embeddings of $(kC_L)^a \cap L$ into $(kC_L)^a$, the result follows. $\square$

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\hat{C}$ denote the $\sigma\delta$ -field whose underlying δ -field is a differential closure of C_L (taken to be linearly disjoint from L over D_L) on which the automorphism σ acts as the identity. Then:*

1. $S = R \otimes_{kC_L} k\hat{C}$ is the unique Picard-Vessiot extension over the $\sigma\delta$ -field $k\hat{C}$ for the system of equations $\sigma(X) = AX$.
2. $S \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{l-1} S_i$ where $l = [D_L : C_L]$, $\sigma(S_i) = S_{i+1}$, and each S_i is simple as a $\sigma^l\delta$ -domain. The σ^l -ring S_0 is equal to $R[k\hat{C}] \cong R \otimes_{kD_L} k\hat{C}$, where σ^l is the identity on $\hat{C}$.

Proof. (1) By Lemma 1.11 in [PS97], it follows that S is a simple $\sigma\delta$ -ring. Indeed, $R \otimes_{C_L} \hat{C}$ is σ -simple by Lemma 1.11 in [PS97] hence it is also $\sigma\delta$ -simple. Since $k \otimes_{C_L} \hat{C}$ is a domain by the hypothesis on $\hat{C}$, we can localise without modifying the σ -simplicity. By Proposition 6.16 in [HS08], we then have that $S \cong (R \otimes_{C_L} \hat{C})_{k \otimes_{C_L} \hat{C}}$.

(2) All assertions follow from Lemma 6.8 in [HS08], except for $l = [D_L : C_L]$. Let $D_L = C_L[\alpha]$, and let $p(y)$ be the minimal polynomial of α over C_L . Since D_L and k are linearly disjoint over $D_k = C_k$, this polynomial remains irreducible over kC_L . Thus, if $D'_L \subset C_0$, where C_0 is the algebraic closure of C_L inside $\hat{C}$ and D'_L is the subfield of C_0 isomorphic to D_L :

$$A = R \otimes_{kC_L} kD'_L \cong R[y]/(p(y))$$

By Corollary 4.12 in [CHS08], R is σ^l -simple, and $D_L = \text{Fix}(\sigma^l)(R^*) = \text{Fix}(\sigma^l)(R)$ by Lemma 4.6 in [CHS08], so $p(y)$ splits completely over R , which implies that the ring A is isomorphic to the direct sum of l copies of R , that is, A has l primitive idempotents, $e_0, \dots, e_{l-1}$. Now, inside A there are two “distinct copies” of the field D_L , namely $D_L \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes D'_L$; as σ^l -fields, they are isomorphic. Moreover, for each i , $e_i(D_L \otimes 1) = e_i(1 \otimes D'_L)$. This follows from the fact that $e_i A$ is a domain, and D_L/C_L is Galois.

So

$$R \otimes_{kC_L} k\hat{C} \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{l-1} e_i A \otimes_{kD_L} k\hat{C}$$

All there is left to do is to check that $A \otimes_{kD_L} k\hat{C}$ is a domain, for then Lemma 6.8 in [HS08] implies that the $\sigma\delta$ -structure induced by the previous isomorphism is the right one. Now, since $kC_0 = kD'_L[C_0]$ (C_0/D'_L is algebraic), then $R \otimes_{kD_L} kC_0 \cong R \otimes_{D_L} C_0$ is a domain. In the same fashion, since $k\hat{C}/kC_0$ is regular (by Lemma 6.11 in [HS08] and (1)), then $(k \otimes_{D_L} C_0) \otimes_{kC_0} k\hat{C}$ is also a domain. $\square$

Corollary 2.6. *Let $k, L, R, \hat{C}$ be as above, and suppose that C_k is differentially closed. Let P be a Picard-Vessiot extension over k for the system of equations in 2.1, and let $\mathcal{G}$ denote the differential group defined in Proposition 6.18 in [HS08] (so that we have that $\text{Aut}_{\sigma\delta}(P^*/k) = \mathcal{G}(C_k)$). Then $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathbb{H}$ are isomorphic over $\hat{C}$.*

Proof. Consider the tensor product:

$$P \otimes_{C_k} \hat{C}$$

By Corollary 6.22 in [HS08] P is a simple σ -ring. So Lemma 1.11 in [PS97] implies that $P \otimes_{C_k} \hat{C}$ is simple as a σ -ring, and so also as a $\sigma\delta$ -ring. Furthermore, since k and $\hat{C}$ are linearly disjoint over C_k , P is finitely generated over the domain $k \otimes_{C_k} \hat{C}$, so one may localise to obtain a Picard-Vessiot extension $(P \otimes_{C_k} \hat{C})_{k \otimes \hat{C}}/k\hat{C}$ for the system of equations in 2.1. By uniqueness of the Picard-Vessiot extension when the σ -constants are differentially closed, together with theorem 2.5, one must have

$$\tilde{P} = (P \otimes_{C_k} \hat{C})_{k \otimes \hat{C}} \cong (P \otimes_{k\hat{C}} k\hat{C}) \cong (R \otimes_{C_L} \hat{C})_{kC_L \otimes \hat{C}} = \tilde{R}$$

This isomorphism induces an isomorphism $\text{Aut}_{\sigma\delta}(\tilde{P}^*/k\hat{C}) \cong \text{Aut}_{\sigma\delta}(\tilde{R}^*/k\hat{C})$ via conjugation. So all there's left to check is that

$$\text{Aut}_{\sigma\delta}(\tilde{P}^*/k\hat{C}) = \mathcal{G}(\hat{C})$$

$$\text{Aut}_{\sigma\delta}(\tilde{R}^*/k\hat{C}) = \mathbb{H}(\hat{C})$$

The first follows from the discussion in the paragraph following Proposition 6.18 in [HS08] and the fact that:

$$\text{Aut}_{\sigma\delta}(\tilde{P}^*/k\hat{C}) = \text{Aut}_{\sigma\delta}(\tilde{P}/k\hat{C}) = \text{Aut}_{\sigma\delta}(P \otimes_{C_k} \hat{C}/k \otimes_{C_k} \hat{C})$$

For the second, we must show that:

$$\{B \in GL_m : g_B \text{ leaves } I_\delta(X/k\hat{C}) \text{ invariant}\} = \mathbb{H}(\hat{C})$$

For that, it suffices to prove that $I_\delta(X/k\hat{C})$ is still defined over kC_L . Now note that to show that Y and X define the same differential locus, one may

prove that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $(X, \dots, X^{(n)})$ and $(Y, \dots, Y^{(n)})$ have the same algebraic locus (i.e., the differential locus of X over $k\hat{C}$ is totally defined by the algebraic loci $W_n = \mathcal{V}(X, \dots, X^{(n)}/k\hat{C})$). But kC_L and $\hat{C}$ are linearly disjoint over C_L by Lemma 6.11 in [HS08]; hence, W_n is still defined over $kC_L \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. □

3 A closer look at the Galois group

We investigate in this section the structure of the Galois group defined in the previous sections. It turns out that the analysis done in [PS97] works also in this case:

Lemma 3.1. *Let k be a $\sigma\delta$ -field such that $C_k = D_k$. Let R be the Picard-Vessiot extension built in 2.1, L its fraction field and C_L the constant field of L . Consider the $\sigma\delta$ -field C , whose underlying set is C_L^a and on which the automorphism acts as the identity, and the derivation extends in the only possible way from $\delta|_{C_L}$. Then:*

- i) $R \otimes_{C_L} C$ is a Picard-Vessiot extension over kC whose total ring of fractions is $L \otimes_{C_L} C$.
- ii) If we set $R \otimes_{C_L} C \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{l-1} R_i$, we have that R_0 is Picard-Vessiot over kC for $\sigma^l X = A_l X$ where $A_l = \sigma^{l-1}(A)\sigma^{l-2}(A) \dots \sigma(A)A$; if $R_0^* = qr(R_0)$, then $R_0^* \cong L \otimes_{D_L} C$ as a $\sigma^l\delta$ -ring.

Proof. For the first assertion of i), since R is σ -simple, we may apply Lemma 1.11 in [PS97] to deduce that $R \otimes_{C_L} C$ is σ -simple, hence also $\sigma\delta$ -simple; finally, the fact that $kC \subset R \otimes_{C_L} C$ yields the result.

The decomposition of $R \otimes_{C_L} C$ into a direct sum follows from 2.5. By Lemma 6.8 in [HS08] each of the summands is $\sigma^l\delta$ -simple and (using the decomposition and the fact that $kC \subset R_i$) isomorphic to $kC\{X, \det(X)^{-1}\}$, yielding ii) and the second assertion of i). □

Proposition 3.2. *Let R, k, C_L be as above. Let C be the difference differential field whose underlying differential field is C_L^a , and on which σ acts as the identity. Consider*

$$\text{Aut}_{\sigma\delta}(L \otimes_{C_L} kC/kC) = \mathbb{H}(C)$$

There is an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\sigma^l\delta}(R_0^*/kC) \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathbb{H}(C) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

where $R_0^* = \text{frac}(R_0) \cong L \otimes_{D_L} k(C)$, $l = [D_L : C_L]$ and Γ can be chosen to be a difference-differential group morphism.

Proof. The proof is the same as the one of Corollary 1.17 in [PS97], modulo small modifications concerning the extra differential structure involved, as well as the fact that C is not necessarily differentially closed. The difficulties arise in relation with

1. definition of Γ ,
2. commutativity of the latter and δ ,
3. surjectivity of Δ ,

but they can be easily solved by applying theorem 2.5.

The fact that $l = [D_L : C_L]$ follows from 2.5, as well as lemma 3.1. □

References

- [CHS08] Zoé Chatzidakis, Charlotte Hardouin, and Michael Singer. On the definitions of difference galois groups. volume 1 of *Model theory and applications to algebra and analysis, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series*, pages 73–109. Cambridge Univ. Press, 2008.
- [HS08] Charlotte Hardouin and Michael Singer. Differential galois theory of linear difference equations. *Math. Ann.*, 342(2):333–377, 2008.
- [PS97] Marius van der Put and Michael Singer. *Galois theory of difference equations*, volume 1666 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1997.